

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/412,297	10/05/1999	KANG TING	3100.006US0	9486
33401 7	590 09/13/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY (LOS ANGELES OFFICE)			FORD, VANESSA L	
2049 CENTUR 34TH FLOOR	RY PARK EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ES, CA 90067-3208		1645	
			DATE MAILED: 09/13/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Advisory Action	09/412,297	TING, KANG				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vanessa L. Ford	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI' avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	TION FOR ALLOW cation. A proper report of the places the application of the proper report of the properties.	/ANCE. ply to a cation in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the name SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE stee on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sistent and the corresponding amount of the district the property of the statutory period for reply originally set in	FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate existed. The appropriate existed in the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: see Advisory attachment.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: NONE						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 8-12 and 50</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>26 March 2002</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
I0.⊠ Other: <u>Advisory attachment.</u>						

Application/Control Number: 09/412,297

Art Unit: 1645

## Advisory Action Attachment

- 1. Applicants amendment filed August 4, 2004 is acknowledged.
- 2. Applicant's amendment is <u>not</u> entered. The claims as amended (claims 51 and 52) will raise new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraphs. For example, the newly added claims limitation "wherein the osteogenic cell is selected for a cell endogenous to a fetal calvarial cell" has not been searched or considered. Claim 51 does not further limit claim 52, in fact it broadens it and the claims would be rejected under 112 second paragraph. The newly added claims have not been searched or considered before the receipt of the after-final amendment.
- 3. For clarification of the record, telephone conferences were held on June 24, 2004 and July 21, 2004. The issues addressed during these telephone conferences were regarding claim 50 of the amendment filed May 10, 2004. The discussions were directed to three members of the recited Markush group of claim 50 which are stem cells, bone marrow cells and fetal embryonic cells. It is the position of the Examiner that these three members of the recited Markush group did not necessarily correlate to calvarial bone cells (see the Abstract). It should be noted that Zhang et al, 2002 teach that NELL-1 over-expression is restricted to calvarial bone. It should also be noted that Bellows et al (Developmental Biology, 133, 1989) (submitted by Applicant) teach that "the precursors of the osteogenic cells are believed to be derived from stem cells within the bone marrow stroma and periostreal soft connective tissue associated with bone

Application/Control Number: 09/412,297

Art Unit: 1645

surfaces (page 12). Therefore, the submitted art does not specifically teach that stem cells or bone marrow cells are definitely apart of a homogenous mixture of calvarial

bone cells which are required for NELL-1 over-expression.

Applicant disagreed with this assertion and agreed to submit information disclosure statement (IDS) and references that supported their position that all recited members of the Markush group should be included.

To address Applicant's assertion that the finality of the last Office action would be withdrawn is incorrect. The Examiner stated that the IDS and submitted articles would be carefully reviewed and considered.

4. The rejection of claims 1-2, 8-12 and 50 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record as set forth in pages 2-5, paragraph 6 of the Final Office Action. Applicant's arguments are directed to the newly submitted claims, which have not been entered.

## Status of Claims

5. No claims allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/412,297

Art Unit: 1645

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308–0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Office Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for the Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday — Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-0864.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vanessa L. Ford

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

September 5, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600